

COPC #32
PATENT
Attorney Docket No. 02356.0074

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re U.S. Patent No.: 5,986,051)

Inventors: Agnès LABIGNE et al.)

Issue Date: November 16, 1999)



For: GENES OF *HELICOBACTER PYLORI* NECESSARY FOR THE REGULATION
AND MATURATION OF UREASE AND THEIR USE

Certificate of Correction Branch

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

REQUEST FOR CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 254 and 37 C.F.R. § 1.322, this is a request for the
issuance of a Certificate of Correction in the above-identified patent. Two (2) copies of
PTO Form 1050 are appended. The complete Certificate of Correction involves one (1)
page.

The mistake identified in the appended Form occurred through the fault of the
Office, as clearly disclosed by the records of the application which matured into this
patent.

Issuance of the Certificate of Correction containing the correction is earnestly
requested.

Respectfully submitted,

By:

Kenneth J. Meyers
Reg. No. 25,146

LAW OFFICES
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON,
FARABOW, GARRETT,
& DUNNER, L.L.P.
1300 I STREET, N. W.
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20005
202-408-4000

Dated: December 16, 1999

RECEIVED
DEC 21 1999
CERTIFICATES OF CORRECTION

NOTICE RE: CERTIFICATES OF CORRECTION

DATE : 5-24-00

TO : Supervisor, Art Unit 1818

SUBJECT: Certificate of Correction Request in Patent No. 5986051

A response to the following question(s) is requested with respect to the accompanying request for a certificate of correction.

- ☐ 1. Would the change(s) requested under 37 CFR 1.323 constitute new matter or require reexamination of the application?
- ☐ 2. Would the change(s) requested under 37 CFR 1.323 materially affect the scope or meaning of the claims allowed by the examiner in the patent?
- ☐ 3. Applicant disagrees with change(s) initialed and dated by Examiner in lieu of an Examiner's Amendment. Should the change request be granted?
- ☒ 4. With respect to the change(s) requested, correcting ~~errors~~ errors, should the patent read as shown in the certificate of correction?
- ☐ 5. If the amendment filed _____ had been considered by the Examiner, would the amendment have been entered?

PLEASE RESPOND WITHIN 7 DAYS AND RETURN THE FILE TO ROOM 918, PK III

Eva James

Legal Instrument Examiner

TO: CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION BRANCH

DATE:

The decision regarding the change(s) requested in the certificate of correction is shown below.

- | | | |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> 1. YES | <input type="checkbox"/> NO | <input type="checkbox"/> Comments below |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 2. YES | <input type="checkbox"/> NO | <input type="checkbox"/> Comments below |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 3. YES | <input type="checkbox"/> NO | <input type="checkbox"/> Comments below |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 4. YES | <input type="checkbox"/> NO | <input type="checkbox"/> Comments below |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 5. YES | <input type="checkbox"/> NO | <input type="checkbox"/> Comments below |

☐ Comments _____

Supervisor

Art Unit

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION

PATENT NO.: 5,986,051
DATED: November 16, 1999
INVENTOR(S): Agn  s LABIGNE et al.

It is certified that an error appears in the above-identified patent and that said Letters Patent is hereby corrected as shown below:

Claims 9 and 10, Col. 39 are identical.

Claim 9 (allowed claim 54) may not depend on any one of succeeding claims 11-16 (allowed claims 62-67).

Claim 15, Col. 40, line 24, change "ureG" to --ureH--.

Mailing Address of Sender:

Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow
Garrett & Dunner, L.L.P.
1300 I Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20005-3315

PATENT NO. 5,986,051

No. of add'l copies
@ 50   per page